# Message Text

PAGE 01 VIENNA 00933 021401Z

61

**ACTION SS-30** 

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 /031 W

----- 047036

R 021308Z FEB 74

FM AMEMBASSY VIENNA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 1473

SECDEF WASHDC

INFO USMISSION NATO

AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY LONDON

USNMR SHAPE

USCINCEUR

SECRET VIENNA 0933

**EXDIS** 

NOFORN

FROM US REP MBFR

E.O.: 11652: GDS TAGS: PARM, NATO

SUBJ: MBFR: REPORT OF DISCUSSION BETWEEN SOVIET AND US

REPS JANUARY 31, 1974

- 1. ON THE MORNING OF JANUARY 31, US REP ASKED SOVIET REP KHLESTOV WHETHER SOVIETS WOULD BE INTERESTED IN PURSUING FURTHER THE ISSUE OF INFORMAL DISCUSSION GROUPS WHICH HAD BEEN DISCUSSED WITH US REP JANUARY 29. KHLESTOV INDICATED SOVIETS WOULD BE INTERESTED IN DOING SO.
- 2. US REP AND US DEPREP MET WITH KHLESTOV AND SMIRNOVSKY FOLLOWING PLENARY SESSION JANUARY 31. US REP ASKED KHLESTOV IF HE HAD FURTHER CONSIDERED POINTS RAISED BY US REP IN THE CONVERSATION OF JANUARY 29 CONCERNING WESTERN DESIRE TO RAISE SOME POINTS OF INTEREST FOR THE NEGOTIATIONS IN SMALL SECRET

PAGE 02 VIENNA 00933 021401Z

INFORMAL MULTILATERAL SETTING AND WHETHER KHLESTOV HAD ANYTHING TO ADD ON THE SUBJECT.

3. KHLESTOV SAID HE HAD BEEN THINKING ABOUT THE

TOPIC, BUT THAT ALL THAT HE COULD SAY NOW WAS THAT HE CONTINUED TO FEEL THAT BILATERAL CONTACT WAS A BETTER WAY OF DISCUSSION NEGOTIATING ISSUES THAN MULTILATERAL INFORMAL MEETINGS BECAUSE OF THE FLEXIBILITY BILATARALS PROVIDED FOR THE PARTICIPANTS ON BOTH SIDES. KHLESTOV REITERATED HIS VIEW, PUT FORWARD IN THE JANUARY 29 CONVERSATION, THAT IN MULTILATERAL TALKS THE SOVIETS WOULD BE OBLIGED TO TAKE POSITIONS FOR THE RECORD.

#### BEGIN EXDIS/NOFORN

HE SAID US REP HAD ALREADY MENTIONED SUBJECT OF A POSSIBLE PROCEDURAL UNDERSTANDING TO SOVIETS, AND SOVIETS WOULD PREFER TO HEAR US VIEWS ON THE WHOLE SUBJECT BEFORE GOING ON TO DISCUSS IT IN A MULTILATERAL FORUM. IN BILATERALS WITH THE U.S., A DEGREE OF CONFIDENTIALITY AND POSSIBILITY FOR INFORMAL, NON-COMMITTING PERSONAL COMMENT HAD BEEN DEVELOPED. IN MULTILATERALS, WITH OTHERS PRESENT FROM BOTH SIDES, HE COULD ONLY TAKE THE OFFICIAL SOVIET POSITION

#### END EXDIS/NOFORN.

4. US REP SAID HE UNDERSTOOD KHLESTOV'S POINT. BUT EVEN TAKING IT FULLY INTO ACCOUNT, HE CONSIDERED IT STILL MORE IMPORTANT THAT OTHER WESTERN ALLIES BE PRESENT FOR DISCUSSION OF THE SUBJECTS HE HAD IN MIND, EVEN THOUGH THE GROUP WOULD OF COURSE BE RESTRICTED IN SIZE TO PROMOTE INFORMAL EXCHANGE. US REP SAID IT WAS IMPORTANT THAT OTHER WESTERN ALLIES PARTICIPATE BECAUSE THEIR INTERESTS WERE INVOLVED. HE HOPED IN ANY EVENT THAT SOVIETS WOULD WISH TO HEAR WHAT ALLIES HAD TO SAY ON ANY ISSUE OF POSSIBLE SIGNIFICANCE FOR THE NEGOTIATIONS WHENEVER THE OPPORTUNITY WAS PRESENTED, AND WOULD WISH TO KEEP AN OPEN MIND ON WHAT THEY WOULD HEAR AND JUDGE WESTERN VIEWS ON THEIR OWN MERIT.

## **SECRET**

# PAGE 03 VIENNA 00933 021401Z

5. AFTER SIDE DISCUSSION WITH SMIRNOVSKY, KHLESTOV AGREED ON THE MULTILATERAL FORMAT IN THE FORM OF A SOCIAL OCCASION WITH THREE REPRESENTATIVES ON A SIDE BUT CAUTIONED THAT A PRICE WOULD HAVE TO BE PAID FOR THIS ARRANGEMENT IN TERMS OF FLEXIBILITY OF SOVIET REACTION TO WESTERN IDEAS, WHATEVER THEIR NATURE. IT WAS AGREED TO MEET ON THE EVENING OF FEBRUARY 7 AND THAT THE SOVIETS WOULD WISH TWO FURTHER PACT REPRESENTATIVES WHOSE NAMES THEY WOULD SUPPLY LATER TO BE INVITED.

### BEGIN EXDIS/NFORN:

6. COMMENT: WE BELIEVE THE SOVIETS WERE MOTIVITATED

BOTH BY DESIRE FOR INFORMAL BILATERAL DISCUSSION WITH U.S., AND BY CONCERN OVER COMPLICATIONS WITH THE ROMANIANS IF THE DISCUSSION TOOK ON MULTILATERAL CHARACTER. ALTHOUGH WE WOULD HAVE RECEIVED FRANKER REACTION IN BILATERAL DISCUSSION AND PERHAPS GOTTEN FURTHER IN OBTAINING GOOD RECEPTION FOR OVERALL WESTERN TACTICAL APPROACH, WE BELIVED IT MORE IMPORTANT TO HAVE ALLIES PRESENT ON FIRST PRESENTATION OF WESTERN CLARIFICATIONS. END COMMENT.

END EXDIS/NOFORN.HUMES

SECRET

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

# Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: Z Capture Date: 27 JUL 1999 Channel Indicators: n/a

**Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED** 

Concepts: FOREIGN RELATIONS, DIPLOMATIC DISCUSSIONS

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 02 FEB 1974 Decaption Date: 28 MAY 2004
Decaption Note: 25 YEAR REVIEW Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: golinofr
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1974/JENNA00933

Document Number: 1974VIENNA00933 Document Source: ADS Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: 11652 GDS

Errors: n/a Film Number: P750001-1126 From: VIENNA

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1974/newtext/t19740268/abbrzany.tel Line Count: 122 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, TEXT ON MICROFILM

Office: ACTION SS

**Original Classification: SECRET** 

Original Handling Restrictions: EXDIS NOFORN Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3

Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: SECRET

Previous Handling Restrictions: EXDIS NOFORN

Reference: n/a

Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: golinofr

Review Content Flags: Review Date: 19 JUL 2001

**Review Event:** 

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <19-Jul-2001 by willialc>; APPROVED <30 APR 2002 by golinofr>

**Review Markings:** 

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:** Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: MBFR: REPORT OF DISCUSSION BETWEEN SOVIET AND US REPS JANUARY 31, 1974

TAGS: PARM, US, UR, NATO

Type: TE

To: STATE SECDEF INFO NATO

**BONN** LONDON USNMR SHAPE USCINCEUR

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

**Markings:** Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005